

Richard Brigden

Call 2007

Clerks

 Nick Buckley
 0161 817 2757

 Dave Haley
 0161 817 7118

 Andy Leech
 0161 817 2770

 Anthony Brown
 0161 833 2722

Memberships

Criminal Bar Association

Education

- Politics and International Relations – Manchester University
- BVC – BPP Law School

Criminal

His varied Crown Court practice encompasses a variety of serious criminal offences including murder, firearms, violence, sexual offences, drugs fraud and complex VHCC cases.

Richard is experienced in dealing with complex and voluminous evidence which often involves expert evidence regarding cell site, firearms, computers and environmental issues. He is also experienced in dealing with complex expert medical evidence in the area of diminished responsibility and pharmacology.

He is known for his expertise in dealing with vulnerable defendants and has extensive experience of representing defendants with complex mental health needs and defences involving insanity, loss of control and diminished responsibility. He is experienced in advising and instructing the relevant experts as well in the use of intermediaries.

Richard is regarded as a leading protest lawyer and has been instructed in precedent cases in the Court of Appeal Criminal and Civil Division.

He is ranked in the 2024 Edition of The Legal 500 as a leading junior in general crime.

Cases

Notable Cases:

R v Threlfall (2024) – Defendant charged with aggravated burglary. Complex legal argument regarding the how the underlying burglary was charged 9(1)(a) Theft Act v 9(1)(b) Theft Act. Successful half time submission. Defendant acquitted.

R v Ndinigwe (2024) – Defendant charged with aiding and abetting a stranger rape and violence following the alleged rape. Two complainants. Defendant acquitted.

R v Al Mithaeb (2024) – Defendant charged with Murder. Incident captured on CCTV. Case involved complicated arguments regarding non defendant bad character evidence and expert CCTV evidence. Led by Imran Shafi KC

R v Latif (2024) – Defendant alleged to conspired with others to supply Class A and B Drugs. Defendant played a leading role in a long running drug conspiracy, supply of well over 10 kilos of Class A drugs. The lines were operating for over 18 months. The case involved complex telephone evidence running to over 120'000 pages. This required a precise and detailed understanding of the telephone evidence and the potential weaknesses of cell site evidence. The case also included novel expert evidence relating to the use of foreign slang terms used as part of the business communications between co-conspirators.

R v Ross (2023 – 2024) – Defendant charged with money laundering arising out of a sophisticated 'Film Fraud'. Principal defendants allegedly defrauded HMRC out of over £1m. Ms Ross was alleged to have set up the arrangements that allowed the monies to then be transferred into other film making ventures and personal expenditure. Monies disseminated through various personal and business accounts, spending occurring both inside and outside the jurisdiction. Required a forensic analysis of the complicated financial evidence to trace the monies from source to final destination. Ms Ross was the only defendant acquitted. 5-month trial.

R v Mingoies and Ors (2022 – 2023). VHCC with 13 Defendants – Defendant and others alleged to have organised and carried out the murder of a rival gang member. Complicated by the fact that the defendant appeared to have attacked a supposed 'peace maker' between the two rival OCG's. Mr Mingoies specifically alleged to have carried out the fatal attack. Vast and complex phone, CCTV and BWV evidence. Evidence running to over 750'000 pages. Complicated medical evidence relating to the defendants PTSD and the extent to which such evidence is admissible. Led by Mark Rhind KC. Trial lasted 8 months.

R v K (2021) – Defendant charged with serious historic sexual offences against a complainant who was a child at the time. Defendant acquitted of all counts

R v M (2021) – Defendant charged with a series of armed robberies and firearms with intent to endanger life.

R v D (2021) – Defendant with complex mental health issues charged with s20 against two vulnerable witnesses. S28 pre-recorded cross examination. Defendant convicted of 1 count and acquitted of the other count.

Nassinde v Chester Magistrates Court [2020] EWHC 3329 (Admin) – Appeal concerning the right of police officers to enter property due to mental health / welfare concerns. The case clarifies the operation of 17(1)(e) of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

R v GC (2020) Junior Counsel (led by Nina Grahame QC) – Defendant charged with murder. Complicated psychiatric (diminished responsibility) and medical issues (drug pharmacology). Defendant acquitted of murder and convicted of manslaughter on the basis of diminished responsibility. Successful submission of no case to answer on a kidnap charge.

Cuadrilla v Lawrie and Ors [2020] EWCA Civ 9 – First breach of a protest injunction by fracking protesters. Complicated legal issues arising out of this novel quasi criminal case. I appeared as junior counsel in the CoA where the fundamental importance of the right to protest was reiterated.

R v P (2019) – Defendant charged with historic sexual offences. Defendant had complicated mental health issues and was in a secure mental health facility at the time of the trial. Acquitted on all counts.

R v C (2019) – 16 year old defendant charged with possession of a firearm (AK47) with intent to endanger life. Expert medical evidence regarding the defendant's capacity and complex cell site evidence. Sentencing judge accepted the defendant's vulnerability and was sentenced to 4 years whereas the principal offender received an 18 years EDS.

R v A (2019) – Defendant charged with being part of a large benefits fraud. Complicated issues centring around the legal definition of 'employment'. My client was the only defendant of 21 to be acquitted.

R v C (2019) – 16 year old defendant charged with armed robbery. Complicated ID issues. Successful submission of no case to answer based on substantial breaches of PACE.

R (ex p C) v CCRC [2019] EWHC 926 (Admin) – Junior counsel in a judicial review of the CCRC's failure to refer a case, on Jogee (Joint Enterprise) grounds, back to the Court of Appeal.

R v L (2018) – Public nuisance protest case that received widespread media attention. I was junior counsel in the CoA. The CoA overturned the custodial sentences in what is seen as the precedent judgment on protesters and appropriate sentences.

R v V [2018] EWCA Crim 23 – Aggravated Burglary. Court of Appeal reduction of sentence.

Recommendations

“He is a great communicator and is really capable in getting good results. He is hard-working and a good jury advocate, who is brilliant with clients.”

“Richard is a great advocate.”

Chambers and Partners 2025

“Richard is a committed and thoughtful barrister who does excellent work on behalf of his clients. He prepares all cases thoroughly and with strong attention to detail, he is an excellent communicator, he is able to communicate effectively with clients from a wide variety of backgrounds, and his strong communication skills make him a good jury advocate.”

The Legal 500 2025

“Richard is an incredible advocate and is able to bring passion and compassion to his advocacy. He is brilliant with vulnerable defendants and has the capacity to make them feel at ease and to trust him in a very short space of time.”

The Legal 500 2024

“Richard is an excellent tactician. He prepares all cases thoroughly and is quick to recognise issues arising in a case. He is of course eminent in the sphere of protest work – he knows protest work like the back of his hand and speaks with such authority on the subject that everyone in Court hangs on his every word. He is also excellent in court – he excels at witness handling, especially cross examination. Everyone Richard represents is in exceedingly safe hands.”

The Legal 500 2023

“Richard is a strong advocate, makes succinct, well judged submissions and puts his client’s forcefully and intelligently.”

The Legal 500, 2022